Team 1 Assessment 2 – Individual Responsibility

Iteration Plan

[Note: Text enclosed in square brackets and displayed in blue italics (style=InfoBlue) is included to provide guidance to the author and should be deleted before publishing the document.]

# 1. Key milestones

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Milestone** | **Date** |
| Iteration start | 18/08/2021 |
| Make frequent commits as author | 18/08/2021 – 29/08/2021 |
| Mediate between assigned author and reviewer | 18/08/2021 – 29/08/2021 |
| Review commits of assigned author | 18/08/2021 – 29/08/2021 |
| Document each commit on team wiki | 18/08/2021 – 29/08/2021 |
| Document each commit for assessment presentation | 18/08/2021 – 29/08/2021 |
| Iteration stop | 29/08/2021 |

# 2. High-level objectives

* Objective: Make frequent commits as author

Outcome: Each team member were assigned their codes through the work breakdown structure. They are responsible for making frequent small commits on GitHub as an author.

* Objective: Mediate between assigned author and reviewer

Outcome: Each team member were assigned their roles as a mediator through the work breakdown structure. They are responsible for acting as the mediator between their assigned author and reviewer.

* Objective: Review commits of assigned author

Outcome: Each team member were assigned their roles as a reviewer through the work breakdown structure. They are responsible for checking the commits of their assigned author for mistakes and approve the pull request if the commit has no mistakes.

* Objective: Document each commit on team wiki

Outcome: Each team member are responsible for their own documentation of their work as an author, mediator, and reviewer for the team wiki page.

* Objective: Document each commit for assessment presentation

Outcome: Each team member are responsible for their own documentation of their work as an author, mediator, and reviewer for the assessment document.

# 3. Evaluation criteria

* The lecturer has provided the team with detailed explanation of assessment 2 – static review.
* A further explanation of assessment 2 details was given to each team member and reference to the lectures of previous weeks was given as well.
* Through the work breakdown structure each team member was assigned their roles and responsibilities as author, mediator, and reviewer.
* The team wiki page was updated with pages for each team members’ roles as author, mediator, and reviewer for documentation.
* The team was notified of their responsibilities as author, mediator, and reviewer to document each commit for the assessment.

# 4. Work Item assignments

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Work Item ID** | **Name or key words of description** | **Outcome** | **State** | **Assigned to (name)** | **Estimated Hours** | **Hours worked** |
| 1. | Make frequent commits as author | Each member’s roles as **author** were provided by the work breakdown structure. It is each member’s own responsibility to complete their work as **author**. | NA | All members | 2 - 4 weeks | 2 - 4 weeks |
| 2. | Mediate between assigned author and reviewer | Each member’s roles as **mediator** were provided by the work breakdown structure. It is each member’s own responsibility to complete their work as **mediator**. | NA | All members | 2 - 4 weeks | 2 - 4 weeks |
| 3. | Review commits of assigned author | Each member’s roles as **reviewer** were provided by the work breakdown structure. It is each member’s own responsibility to complete their work as **reviewer**. | NA | All members | 2 - 4 weeks | 2 - 4 weeks |
| 4. | Document each commit on team wiki | Each member are responsible for the documentation of their work as author, mediator, and reviewer. | NA | All members | 4 | 4 |
| 5. | Document each commit for assessment presentation | Each member are responsible for the documentation of their work as author, mediator, and reviewer. | NA | All members | 4 | 4 |

# 5. Issues

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue** | **Status** | **Notes** |
| Some members have shown confusion on how to do the assessment. | Incomplete | Explanation was given multiple times as well as being referred to previous lectures where the lecturer explains the assessment in detail. |

# 6. Assessment

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Assessment target | Iteration plan for individual responsibility of assessment 2 - static review |
| Assessment date | 29/08/2021 |
| Participants | * Temuulen * Gaury * Dehemi * Kavitha |
| Project status | Yellow |

## Assessment against objectives

This iteration plan was made to address the individual responsibility of assessment 2 – static review. The team members were provided with their roles as author, mediator, and reviewer through the work breakdown structure.

## Other concerns and deviations

All members have been given detailed explanation of assessment 2 – static review. However, some members have trouble using GitHub such as copying everything from main branch including other’s commits into their own branch, and changing code in the main branch instead of their own branch.